

The cohomology rings of homogeneous spaces

Matthias Franz

University of Western Ontario

Conference “Geometry, Groups,
Operator Algebras, and Integrability”
Moscow, June 2022

The problem

G compact connected Lie group

$K \subset G$ closed connected subgroup

Theorem (Cartan 1950)

There is an iso of algebras

$$H^*(G/K; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(\mathbb{R}, H^*(BK))$$

$H^*(BG; \mathbb{R})$ and $H^*(BK; \mathbb{R})$ are polynomial algebras

Problem

Determine $H^*(G/K) = H^*(G/K; \mathbb{k})$ for other coefficient rings \mathbb{k}

Assumptions: $H^*(BG; \mathbb{k})$, $H^*(BK; \mathbb{k})$ are polynomial (\mathbb{k} a PID).
Equivalently, $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z})$, $H^*(K; \mathbb{Z})$ have no torsion elements of order $\text{char } \mathbb{k}$.

Problem: Singular cochain algebras not (graded) commutative

The solution

Theorem (Baum 1963/68, May '68, Gugenheim–May '74, Munkholm '74, Husemoller–Moore–Stasheff '74, Wolf '77)

There is an iso of \mathbb{k} -modules

$$H^*(G/K) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BK))$$

Example (Baum)

$G/K = PU(2) = U(2)/U(1) \approx \mathbb{R}P^3$ and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}_2$

The iso is not multiplicative in this case!

Theorem

Assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . There is an iso of \mathbb{k} -algebras

$$H^*(G/K) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BK))$$

natural in the pair (G, K) .

Bar construction I

differential graded \mathbb{k} -algebra (**dga**): complex A with associative multiplication $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that $d(ab) = (da)b \pm a(db)$

Example: singular cochain algebra $C^*(X)$

Bar construction: assume $A^0 = \mathbb{k}$, $A^1 = 0$; write $\bar{A} = A^{>0}$

$$\mathbf{BA} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{s}^{-1}\bar{A})^{\otimes n} \ni \mathbf{a} = [a_1 | \dots | a_n]$$

$$d\mathbf{a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \pm [\dots | da_k | \dots] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \pm [\dots | a_k a_{k+1} | \dots]$$

\mathbf{BA} is a dg coalgebra (**dg c**) with diagonal $\Delta: \mathbf{BA} \rightarrow \mathbf{BA} \otimes \mathbf{BA}$

$$\Delta \mathbf{a} = \sum_{k=0}^n [a_1 | \dots | a_k] \otimes [a_{k+1} | \dots | a_n]$$

Bar construction II

One-sided bar construction $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, M)$ for a dg A -module M :

$\mathbf{B}A \otimes M$ with differential

$$d([a_1 | \dots | a_n] \otimes m) = d[a_1 | \dots | a_n] \otimes m \pm [a_1 | \dots | a_n] \otimes dm \\ \pm [a_1 | \dots | a_{n-1}] \otimes a_n m$$

Differential torsion product:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_A(\mathbb{k}, M) = H^*(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, M))$$

Theorem (Eilenberg–Moore 1964/66)

For a fibre bundle $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$ with simply connected base B there is an iso of \mathbb{k} -modules

$$H^*(F) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{C^*(B)}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(E))$$

Product structure: not easy because $C^*(X)$ not commutative

Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras

An **hga** is a dga A with operations $E_k: A \otimes A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$ ($E_0 = \text{id}_A$) inducing a dga structure on $\mathbf{B}A$ compatible with the diagonal.

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1|a_2] \cdot [b_1|b_2] &= [a_1|a_2|b_1|b_2] + [a_1|b_1|a_2|b_2] + [a_1|b_1|b_2|a_2] \\ &\quad + [b_1|a_1|a_2|b_2] + [b_1|a_1|b_2|a_2] + [b_1|b_2|a_1|a_2] \\ &\quad + [E_1(a_1; b_1)|a_2|b_2] + [E_1(a_1; b_1)|b_2|a_2] + [b_1|E_1(a_1; b_2)|a_2] \\ &\quad + [a_1|E_1(a_2; b_1)|b_2] + [a_1|b_1|E_1(a_2; b_2)] + [b_1|a_1|E_1(a_2; b_2)] \\ &\quad + [E_1(a_1; b_1)|E_1(a_2; b_2)] + [E_2(a_1; b_1, b_2)|a_2] + [a_1|E_2(a_2; b_1, b_2)] \end{aligned}$$

$U_1 = \pm E_1$ is a U_1 -product:

$$d(a U_1 b) + da U_1 b + a U_1 db = ab + ba$$

$$(ab) U_1 c = (a U_1 c) b + a U_1 (bc)$$

Any commutative dga is an hga with $E_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$.

Theorem (Baues 1980, Voronov–Gerstenhaber 1995)

$C^*(X)$ is naturally an hga.

A product on the one-sided bar construction

Let $A \rightarrow A'$ be an hga map, $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, A') \ni \mathbf{a} \otimes a', \mathbf{b} \otimes b'$
where $\mathbf{a} = [a_1, \dots, a_m]$, $\mathbf{b} = [b_1 | \dots | b_n] \in \mathbf{B}A$, $a', b' \in A'$

Proposition (Kadeishvili–Saneblidze 2005)

$\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, A')$ is a dga with product

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a} \otimes a') \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes b') &= \sum_{l=0}^n \mathbf{a} \cdot [b_1 | \dots | b_l] \otimes E_{n-l}(a'; b_{l+1}, \dots, b_m) b' \\ &= \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \otimes a'b' + \text{deformation terms}\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(B), C^*(E))$ is a dga. The EM iso

$$H^*(F) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{C^*(B)}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(E))$$

then becomes multiplicative.

Eilenberg–Moore for homogeneous spaces

The universal G -bundle $G \hookrightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$ gives the bundle

$$G/K \hookrightarrow EG/K = BK \rightarrow BG$$

Corollary

There is an isomorphism of algebras

$$H^*(G/K) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{C^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BK))$$

Strategy: construct map between one-sided bar constructions underlying $\mathrm{Tor}_{C^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BK))$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BK))$

One also has:

Proposition (Baum)

$H^(G/K) \rightarrow H^*(G/T)$ is injective for a maximal torus $T \subset K$.*

A naive approach

Choose generators $x_i \in H^*(BG)$ and $y_j \in H^*(BK)$ as well as cochain representatives for them. This gives chain maps

$$H^*(BG) \rightarrow C^*(BG) \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(BK) \rightarrow C^*(BK) \quad (*)$$

whence a map

$$\tilde{\Theta}: \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BG), H^*(BK)) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BK))$$

Problem: $\tilde{\Theta}$ is not a chain map!

Reason 1: the maps $(*)$ are not multiplicative

Reason 2: also not compatible with restriction from BG to BK

This can be overcome via certain kinds of up-to-homotopy structures, namely A_∞ maps and strongly homotopy commutative (shc) algebras. Pioneered by Stasheff–Halperin (1970) and Munkholm (1974).

Set-up of the proof

Theorem

Any “extended hga” (having certain additional operations including a \cup_2 -product) is canonically an shc algebra.

Applied to $C^*(BG)$ and $C^*(BK)$, this allows to explicitly construct a (very complicated!) additive quasi-isomorphism

$$\Theta: \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BG), H^*(BK)) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BK))$$

Claim: Θ is multiplicative in cohomology.

By Baum’s result, it is enough to look at the composition

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BG), H^*(BK)) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BK)) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BT))$$

Hga formality of BT

Theorem (Gugenheim–May)

There is a quasi-iso of dgas

$$f: C^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(BT)$$

annihilating all U_1 -products.

Theorem

- *There is a quasi-iso of hgas*

$$f: C^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(BT),$$

i.e., annihilating all hga operations E_k ($k \geq 1$), and all extended hga operations except for U_2 .

- *Assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . Then f can be chosen to additionally annihilate all U_2 -products of cocycles.*

Completing the proof

Corollary: There is a quasi-iso of dgas

$$\Psi: \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BT)) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), H^*(BT))$$

Advantage: the product on the right is componentwise

$$(a \otimes a) \cdot (b \otimes b) = \pm ab \otimes ab$$

Hence enough to look at the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BG), H^*(BK)) &\xrightarrow{\Theta} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BK)) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), C^*(BT)) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BG), H^*(BT)) \end{aligned}$$

Now one goes through the construction of Θ and identifies all deformation terms that end up in the kernel of Ψ . The remaining terms are multiplicative up to homotopy if 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} .

References



M. Franz

Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras are strongly homotopy commutative

J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **15** (2020), 557–595



M. Franz

The cohomology rings of homogeneous spaces

J. Topol. **14** (2021), 1396–1447